

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|-------------------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|--|
| 10/063,930 | 05/27/2002 | George Pieczenik | | 7800 | |
| 30576 7 | 590 07/05/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| DR. GEORGE PIECZENIK | | | HOLLERAN, ANNE L | | |
| APT. 1F 412 EAST 55T | TH STREET | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| NEW YORK, | | | 1643 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 07/05/2005 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Applicatio | n No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|------------|---|-------------------|--------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/063,93 | 0 | PIECZENIK, GEORGE | | | | |
| | | Examiner | · | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Anne Holle | | 1643 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1)□ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> ☐ | This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. | | | | | | | |
| 3)□ | - ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | | |
| · · | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | |
| 6)□ | 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | | |
| 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | |
| | • | | | | | | | |
| | • | | | | | | | |
| Attachment | • • | | | | | | | |
| | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) |) | 4) Ll Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | |
| 3) Inform | mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ r No(s)/Mail Date | 3/08) | 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other: | |)-152) | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/063,930

Art Unit: 1643

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 7-13, drawn to methods of inhibiting the growth of refractory tumors that are stimulated by a ligand of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) in human patients, comprising treating the human patients with an effective amount of a combination of EGFRML antagonist and radiation.
 - II. Claims 14-20, drawn to methods of inhibiting the growth of refractory tumors that are stimulated by a ligand of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) in human patients, comprising treating the human patients with an effective amount of a combination of EGFRML antagonist and chemotherapeutic agent.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other, for the following reasons:

Claims 1-6 link inventions I and II. The restriction requirement between the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claims, claims 1-6. Upon the allowance of the linking claims, the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claims depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claims will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant is advised that if any such claims depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claims are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional

Application/Control Number: 10/063,930 Page 3

Art Unit: 1643

application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims in the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

- 3. Inventions I and II are distinct inventions because invention I requires the use of radiation treatment in combination with an EGFRML antagonist and invention II requires the use of a chemotherapeutic agent. Therefore, inventions I and II differ in method steps, parameters and in the reagents used. The search for methods comprising treatment with radiation is not coextensive with the search for methods comprising treatment with chemotherapeutic agents. Therefore, a serious search burden would be imposed upon the examiner to search groups I and II together.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

A) First election of species:

Application/Control Number: 10/063,930 Page 4

Art Unit: 1643

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: a) where the antagonist is a monoclonal antibody that binds to a mitogenic ligand antagonist; b) a small molecule that binds to a mitogenic ligand antagonist.

If either of groups I or II is elected, then applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, 1, 5-10, and 13-18 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

B) Second election of species:

Application/Control Number: 10/063,930

Page 5

Art Unit: 1643

If group Π is elected, then a second election of species is required:

Claim14, 15, 18, 19 and 20 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising the species listed in claims 16 and 17. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Office should be directed to Anne Holleran, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-0833. Examiner Holleran can normally be reached Monday through Friday, 9:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Larry Helms, can be reached at (571) 272-0832.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist at telephone number (703) 571-1600.

ALANA M. HARRIS, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER

Anne L. Holleran Patent Examiner